UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

///

SAMMY CARRANZA, et al.,	
Plaintiffs,	Case No.: 2:15-cv-01471-GMN-CWH
VS.) U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, et) al.,	ORDER
Defendants.)	

Pending before the Court is the Motion to Expunge the Lis Pendens, (ECF No. 37), filed by Defendant U.S. Bank National Association ("Defendant"). Upon a motion, the Court must dissolve a *lis pendens* if the recording party fails to demonstrate: (1) a likelihood of prevailing in the action; or (2) a fair chance of success and serious hardship that could result from a transfer of the property. Nev. Rev. Stat. 14.015(3); *see also Levinson v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 857 P.2d 18, 20-21 (Nev. 1993).

Here, Plaintiffs Sammy Carranza and Tera Carranza (collectively "Plaintiffs") have failed to file a Response, and the deadline to do so has expired. Thus, pursuant to Local Rule 7-2(d), the Court finds that Plaintiffs have consented to the granting of the Motion. *See* D. Nev. R. 7-2(d) ("The failure of an opposing party to file points and authorities in response to any motion . . . constitutes a consent to the granting of the motion."). Moreover, the Court finds that expunction of the *lis pendens* is warranted because all of Plaintiffs' claims have been dismissed with prejudice. *See*, *e.g.*, *Wensley v. First Nat. Bank of Nevada*, 874 F. Supp. 2d 957, 968 (D. Nev. 2012) (expunging a *lis pendens* after dismissing a complaint with prejudice).